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ABSTRACT

Aim of this case report was to see the effect of twin block in permanent dentition phase. Functional appliances can be used successfully in growing patient with Class II malocclusion. It is dependent on patient’s compliance. It also simplifies the fixed appliance phase. A 14-year-old boy was treated with twin block appliance. The design of appliance and treatment results were demonstrated in following case report. In permanent dentition, twin block produce similar effect as in mixed dentition phase. With proper case selection and good patient cooperation, we can obtain a significant result with twin block appliance.

Introduction

Class II malocclusion, one of the most commonly observed problem in orthodontics, affects approximately one-third of the patients seeking orthodontic treatment.1,3 Patients with Class II malocclusions can exhibit maxillary protrusion, mandibular retrusion, or both, together with abnormal dental relationships and profile discrepancy.4 According to McNamara,5 mandibular retrusion is the most common characteristic of this malocclusion.

In growing patients with Class II malocclusions due to mandibular retrusion, removable and fixed functional appliances are used to stimulate the mandibular growth by forward positioning of the mandible.6,9 In 1982, Clark described the twin block appliance. In United Kingdom, it was one of the popular functional appliances. Many evidences suggest that it may be considered as one of the most successful appliances for the treatment of skeletal Class II malocclusions.

The following is a case report of 14-year-old boy treated with twin block appliance.

CASE REPORT

A 14-year-old boy came to the department having a chief complaint of upper front teeth placed forwardly. On extra-oral examination, the patient has a convex profile, incompetent lips with an interlabial gap of 4 mm, acute nasolabial angle, receded chin position and deep mentolabial sulcus, and average growth pattern. On intra-oral examination, it showed class 2 molar relation
and canine relation bilaterally, overjet of 9.5 mm, overbite of 4 mm and upper and lower midline coincide with the facial midline. The pretreatment intra-oral and extra-oral photographs are shown in Figure 1.

The case was diagnosed as Class II skeletal malocclusion with mandibular deficiency. Cephalometric analysis shows skeletal class 2 sagittal relationship and average growth pattern and mandibular retrusion. Evaluation of patient’s cervical radiograph indicated that he had considerable amount of growth remaining. In addition, patient was showing positive visual treatment objective (VTO). The pretreatment radiographs of the patient are shown in Figure 2.

TREATMENT OBJECTIVES
1. Reduction of profile convexity and lip incompetence.
2. Correction of molar and canine relation
3. Achievement of normal overjet and overbite.

TREATMENT PLAN
As the patient had skeletal and dental Class II relationship and cervical radiograph indicated that he had considerable amount of growth remaining, growth modification was planned using functional appliance followed by fixed orthodontic appliance for final detailing of occlusion. Twin block was fabricated for a patient as shown in figure 3. As to prevent further proclination of lower incisors, incisal capping was done. After 13 months period of wear, the significant improvement was noted in profile and lip competency. Significant correction in molar and the canine relation was obtained along with significant reduction in overjet and overbite. Post-functional intra-oral and extra-oral photographs are shown in Figure 4. The post-functional radiographs are shown in Figure 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Post functional appliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA (°)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB (°)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB (°)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA (°)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA (°)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-L1 (°)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-SN (°)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-NA (mm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-NA (°)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-NB (mm)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-NB (°)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Tissue Variable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasolabial angle (°)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
Class II malocclusion might have any number of a combination of skeletal and dental component. Hence, identifying and understanding etiology and expression of Class II malocclusion and identifying differential diagnosis helpful for its correction and to select treatment planning whether functional, orthodontic or surgical. Clark’s twin block is a functional appliance, which effectively modify occlusal inclined plane which induce favorably directed occlusal force by causing a mandibular displacement. It allows masticatory function. Patient can wear appliance full time with little discomfort. Other
TREATMENT OF SKELETAL CLASS II MALOCCLUSION WITH TWIN-BLOCK

Fig 1 - The pretreatment intra-oral and extra-oral photographs

Fig 2 - The pretreatment radiographs

Fig 3 - Twin Block Appliance

Fig 4 - The post functional intra-oral and extra-oral photographs

advantages include esthetic, easy to repair. It is suitable for mixed dentition as well as deciduous dentition. There were several studies where they have documented the ability of twin block to produce significant skeletal as well as dentoalveolar changes which in combination correct Class II malocclusion.14,15

Here, comparison of pre-treatment and post-treatment lateral cephalogram showed SNA remained unchanged, and SNB increased by 3°. ANB angle reduced up to 3°. Inclination of maxillary incisors remains same and length of the mandible is increased by 3mm. The values of selected parameters before and after functional appliance therapy are shown in Table 1.
CONCLUSION

Effect of twin block depends upon patient’s compliance and case selection. Use of this appliance during growing phase with good patient co-operation produce the skeletal effect, and some dentoalveolar effect is also there.
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